

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|   | APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| _ | 10/071,693              | 02/08/2002        | Andreas Dieterle     | DT-6067                 | 2085                    |  |
|   | 30377                   | 7590 06/04/2003   |                      |                         |                         |  |
|   |                         | DAVID TOREN, ESQ. |                      |                         | EXAMINER                |  |
|   | 787 SEVENTE             |                   | LLP                  | LOPEZ, MICHELLE         |                         |  |
|   | NEW YORK, NY 10019-6018 |                   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|   |                         |                   |                      | 3721                    |                         |  |
|   |                         |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003 | DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |                                 | PK   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sec. 4  |   | Application No.                 | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Commence  | 10/071,693                      | DIETERLE ET AL.                                      |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner                        | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Michelle Lopez                  | 3721   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status<br>1)⊠   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  |   | s action is non-final.          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | . —   |                                 | roccoution on to the morite in                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | lection requirement.            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌 🗆  | 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) 🔲 🗆   | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) 🔲 7   | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro | oved by the Examiner.                                |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)[_   | 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14)∐ A  | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal F         | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |
| C Datent and Ter  | 1 1 000   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/071,693

Art Unit: 3721

...

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Species I: The embodiment shown in Fig. 1
- b. Species II: The embodiment shown in Fig. 6
- c. Species III: The embodiment shown in Fig. 10
- d. Species IV: The embodiment shown in Fig. 14
- e. Species V: The embodiment shown in Fig. 15

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).



Art Unit: 3721

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 703-305-8205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 8:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7769 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ML

March 24, 2003

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700